



ICOED'2017

#152 (1570388730): Full-day Early Childhood Education

# #152 (1570388730): Full-day Early Childhood Education



Property	Change Add	Value																														
Conference and track		2017 3rd International Conference on Education - Track 3: Learning, Teaching and educational Structures																														
Authors		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Name</th> <th>ID</th> <th>Edit</th> <th>Flag</th> <th>Affiliation (edit for paper)</th> <th>Email</th> <th>Country</th> <th>Email</th> <th>Move authors</th> <th>Delete</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><a href="#">Puji Fauziah</a></td> <td>1519427</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td><a href="#">Yogyakarta State University, Indonesia Yogyakarta</a></td> <td><a href="mailto:pujiyanti@uny.ac.id">pujiyanti@uny.ac.id</a></td> <td>Indonesia</td> <td></td> <td>↕</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><a href="#">Sugito Sugito</a></td> <td>1519428</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td><a href="#">Yogyakarta State University, Indonesia Yogyakarta</a></td> <td><a href="mailto:sugito@uny.ac.id">sugito@uny.ac.id</a></td> <td>Indonesia</td> <td></td> <td>↕</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Name	ID	Edit	Flag	Affiliation (edit for paper)	Email	Country	Email	Move authors	Delete	<a href="#">Puji Fauziah</a>	1519427			<a href="#">Yogyakarta State University, Indonesia Yogyakarta</a>	<a href="mailto:pujiyanti@uny.ac.id">pujiyanti@uny.ac.id</a>	Indonesia		↕		<a href="#">Sugito Sugito</a>	1519428			<a href="#">Yogyakarta State University, Indonesia Yogyakarta</a>	<a href="mailto:sugito@uny.ac.id">sugito@uny.ac.id</a>	Indonesia		↕	
Name	ID	Edit	Flag	Affiliation (edit for paper)	Email	Country	Email	Move authors	Delete																							
<a href="#">Puji Fauziah</a>	1519427			<a href="#">Yogyakarta State University, Indonesia Yogyakarta</a>	<a href="mailto:pujiyanti@uny.ac.id">pujiyanti@uny.ac.id</a>	Indonesia		↕																								
<a href="#">Sugito Sugito</a>	1519428			<a href="#">Yogyakarta State University, Indonesia Yogyakarta</a>	<a href="mailto:sugito@uny.ac.id">sugito@uny.ac.id</a>	Indonesia		↕																								
Title		Full-day Early Childhood Education																														
Abstract		This research aims to disseminate the result of the research about diffusion or the process of spreading the previous year innovation. The processes are divided into several stages namely socialization, training and assistance. This research employed an approach for adult education because the participants were early childhood education teachers. The methods used for training were simulation and peer teaching. There were five department receiving intensive assistances, and then these departments became the learning center for early childhood education departments around that region. Finally, dissemination was assessed based on the effectiveness of the training.																														
Topics		Organizational Learning and Change																														
Presenter(s)		<a href="#">Puji Fauziah (bio)</a>																														
Registration		<a href="#">Puji Fauziah</a> has <a href="#">registered and paid</a> for <a href="#">CF1:ALL</a>																														
Session		D02_ICOED_03: DAY 2 - ICOED SESSION 03 from Wed, November 8, 2017 14:00 until 17:00 (10th paper) in ROOM 1 - BENDAHARA (15 min.)																														
DOI	Only the chairs <a href="mailto:icoed2017-chairs@edas.info">icoed2017-chairs@edas.info</a> can edit																															
Status		Published																														
Copyright form		file uploaded: <a href="#">Oct 27, 2017 12:00:57 America/New_York</a> However, authors cannot upload: paper status																														
Review manuscript		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Document (show)</th> <th>Pages</th> <th>File size</th> <th>Changed</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>6</td> <td>471,727</td> <td><a href="#">Jul 26, 2017 13:12:16 America/New_York</a> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>pdf authorname Doubleblind conference, but author name 'Sugito' is visible on first page. (This is only a warning; ignore if false positive.) See <a href="#">FAQ</a> for details.</p>	Document (show)	Pages	File size	Changed		6	471,727	<a href="#">Jul 26, 2017 13:12:16 America/New_York</a>																						
Document (show)	Pages	File size	Changed																													
	6	471,727	<a href="#">Jul 26, 2017 13:12:16 America/New_York</a>																													
Final manuscript	Could upload until <a href="#">Nov 17, 2017 15:59:00 UTC</a>	<p>However, authors cannot upload: final deadline</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Document (show)</th> <th>Pages</th> <th>File size</th> <th>Changed</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>86,016</td> <td><a href="#">Nov 5, 2017 21:36:16 America/New_York</a> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Document (show)	Pages	File size	Changed			86,016	<a href="#">Nov 5, 2017 21:36:16 America/New_York</a>																						
Document (show)	Pages	File size	Changed																													
		86,016	<a href="#">Nov 5, 2017 21:36:16 America/New_York</a>																													
Presentation	Could upload until <a href="#">Nov 8, 2017 15:59:00 UTC</a>	<p>However, authors cannot upload: presentation deadline</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Document (show)</th> <th>Pages</th> <th>File size</th> <th>Changed</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>2,096,686</td> <td><a href="#">Nov 5, 2017 20:51:35 America/New_York</a></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Document (show)	Pages	File size	Changed			2,096,686	<a href="#">Nov 5, 2017 20:51:35 America/New_York</a>																						
Document (show)	Pages	File size	Changed																													
		2,096,686	<a href="#">Nov 5, 2017 20:51:35 America/New_York</a>																													

## Personal notes



You are the creator and an author for this paper. You have authored an accepted paper.

## Reviews

### 10 Reviews

#### Review 1 (Reviewer E)

<u>Originality (New or Novel contribution)</u>	<u>Significance of Topic (Relating to knowledge contribution)</u>	<u>Presentation (Clarity and Organisation of Content)</u>
Accept (8)	Accept (8)	Weak Accept (6)

#### Strengths/Weakness (What are the major reasons to accept/reject the paper? [Be brief.])

the paper should be accepted because innovation on childhood education should be disseminated to assist the early childhood education institution to adopt better method of teaching and learning which will ensure effective early childhood education.

#### Contribution/s & Detailed comments (What are the major issues addressed in the paper? Do you consider them important? Comment on the degree of novelty, creativity and technical depth in the paper. Please provide detailed comments that will be helpful to the TPC for assessing the paper, as well as feedback to the authors.)

this paper will add to a new knowledge on how to assist the early childhood education institution to adopt better method of teaching and learning which will ensure effective early childhood education.

a few suggestions and comments to improve the article:

- the title and the literature review may lead the reader to believe that the article is about early childhood education instead of disseminating the result of the research about diffusion or the process of spreading the previous year innovation. The author should amend the title of the research portray the objective of the study.
- the author should also clearly mention what is the result of previous innovation that need to be disseminated.
- quite a number of authors cited in the article did not match the references.
- minor spelling errors.

#### Review 2 (Reviewer I)

<u>Originality (New or Novel contribution)</u>	<u>Significance of Topic (Relating to knowledge contribution)</u>	<u>Presentation (Clarity and Organisation of Content)</u>
Accept (8)	Accept (8)	Accept (8)

#### Strengths/Weakness (What are the major reasons to accept/reject the paper? [Be brief.])

It explains the methodology used and the results obtained.

The bibliography used is not updated.

#### Contribution/s & Detailed comments (What are the major issues addressed in the paper? Do you consider them important? Comment on the degree of novelty, creativity and technical depth in the paper. Please provide detailed comments that will be helpful to the TPC for assessing the paper, as well as feedback to the authors.)

It explains the methodology used and the results obtained.

It analyzes the results obtained in an educational research and its impact on the education of children.

#### Review 3 (Reviewer K)

<u>Originality (New or Novel contribution)</u>	<u>Significance of Topic (Relating to knowledge contribution)</u>	<u>Presentation (Clarity and Organisation of Content)</u>
Neutral (5)	Neutral (5)	Neutral (5)

#### Strengths/Weakness (What are the major reasons to accept/reject the paper? [Be brief.])

This paper is about

1. Please check the template in the conference website.
2. Well written English paper.
3. In term of data, please add a clear figure and table. Good data elaboration. More results should be incorporated.
4. Need to change the references since some of them are too old Need to update between 2011-2016.

#### Contribution/s & Detailed comments (What are the major issues addressed in the paper? Do you consider them important? Comment on the degree of novelty, creativity and technical depth in the paper. Please provide detailed comments that will be helpful to the TPC for assessing the paper, as well as feedback to the authors.)

In term of data, please add a clear figure and table. Good data elaboration. More results should be incorporated.

#### Review 4 (Reviewer S)

<u>Originality (New or Novel contribution)</u>	<u>Significance of Topic (Relating to knowledge contribution)</u>	<u>Presentation (Clarity and Organisation of Content)</u>
Reject (2)	Reject (2)	Reject (2)

#### Strengths/Weakness (What are the major reasons to accept/reject the paper? [Be brief.])

This research aims to disseminate the result of the research about diffusion or the process of spreading the previous year innovation.

This structure is not adequate for a scientific publication.

#### Contribution/s & Detailed comments (What are the major issues addressed in the paper? Do you consider them important? Comment on the degree of novelty, creativity and technical depth in the paper. Please provide detailed comments that will be helpful to the TPC for assessing the paper, as well as feedback to the authors.)

A clear description of the scientific methodologies is missing.

#### Review 5 (Reviewer U)

<u>Originality (New or Novel contribution)</u>	<u>Significance of Topic (Relating to knowledge contribution)</u>	<u>Presentation (Clarity and Organisation of Content)</u>
Neutral (5)	Neutral (5)	Neutral (5)

#### Strengths/Weakness (What are the major reasons to accept/reject the paper? [Be brief.])

Minor correction for this paper and be accepted in this conference and congratulation to the author(s). However there are suggest to extend the set of data to support the accurate hypotheses or conclusion.

**Contribution/s & Detailed comments (What are the major issues addressed in the paper? Do you consider them important? Comment on the degree of novelty, creativity and technical depth in the paper. Please provide detailed comments that will be helpful to the TPC for assessing the paper, as well as feedback to the authors.)**

None of new novelty with this paper but can gain the information and the knowledge.

**Review 6 (Reviewer W)**

<u>Originality (New or Novel contribution)</u>	<u>Significance of Topic (Relating to knowledge contribution)</u>	<u>Presentation (Clarity and Organisation of Content)</u>
Weak Accept (6)	Weak Accept (6)	Weak Accept (6)

**Strengths/Weakness (What are the major reasons to accept/reject the paper? [Be brief.]**

Strength:

1. This paper represents research on a topic which is current and applicable to a wide range of audience.
2. The authors explained their motivations and methods for their study
3. The paper was easy to read, and organised in an easy-to-follow manner

Weaknesses :

1. Please check the format of the paper.
2. Some of the references are not up to date
3. Abstract is weak and insufficient. Need to extend and make it much more concrete

**Contribution/s & Detailed comments (What are the major issues addressed in the paper? Do you consider them important? Comment on the degree of novelty, creativity and technical depth in the paper. Please provide detailed comments that will be helpful to the TPC for assessing the paper, as well as feedback to the authors.)**

The purpose of this paper is to disseminate the result of the research about diffusion or the process of spreading the previous year innovation.

This research is in-line with the conference aims and objectives and can contribute to a worthwhile discussion and feedback session from other delegates and participants.

**Review 7 (Reviewer Y)**

<u>Originality (New or Novel contribution)</u>	<u>Significance of Topic (Relating to knowledge contribution)</u>	<u>Presentation (Clarity and Organisation of Content)</u>
Accept (8)	Accept (8)	Accept (8)

**Strengths/Weakness (What are the major reasons to accept/reject the paper? [Be brief.]**

-investigated diffusion of spreading the previous year innovation

**Contribution/s & Detailed comments (What are the major issues addressed in the paper? Do you consider them important? Comment on the degree of novelty, creativity and technical depth in the paper. Please provide detailed comments that will be helpful to the TPC for assessing the paper, as well as feedback to the authors.)**

This is an excellent report dealing with significant addressed issue. There is no fault whatsoever with the methods, data analysis, or conclusions. The work, as with all work coming from this particular group, is fundamentally sound. This paper is exceeding maximum pages allowed (5 pages). 6th and above pages will be charged USD100/page.

**Review 8 (Reviewer Z)**

<u>Originality (New or Novel contribution)</u>	<u>Significance of Topic (Relating to knowledge contribution)</u>	<u>Presentation (Clarity and Organisation of Content)</u>
Accept (8)	Accept (8)	Weak Accept (6)

**Strengths/Weakness (What are the major reasons to accept/reject the paper? [Be brief.]**

Overall, the contents are good and clearly discussed.

**Contribution/s & Detailed comments (What are the major issues addressed in the paper? Do you consider them important? Comment on the degree of novelty, creativity and technical depth in the paper. Please provide detailed comments that will be helpful to the TPC for assessing the paper, as well as feedback to the authors.)**

Comments

Introduction/literature review

Sufficient contents with related citation and the significance of the study is well explained.

Methodology

Sufficient data to support the findings

The methodology is well explained

Results/discussion

The results are nicely presented and well discussed

**Review 9 (Reviewer AA)**

<u>Originality (New or Novel contribution)</u>	<u>Significance of Topic (Relating to knowledge contribution)</u>	<u>Presentation (Clarity and Organisation of Content)</u>
Neutral (5)	Weak Accept (6)	Weak Accept (6)

**Strengths/Weakness (What are the major reasons to accept/reject the paper? [Be brief.]**

strengths

1. This paper represents research on a topic which is current and applicable to a wide range of audience

weaknesses

1. Some minor grammatical and spelling mistakes
2. Need more current references

**Contribution/s & Detailed comments (What are the major issues addressed in the paper? Do you consider them important? Comment on the degree of novelty, creativity and technical depth in the paper. Please provide detailed comments that will be helpful to the TPC for assessing the paper, as well as feedback to the authors.)**

This paper is timely, and addresses a topic of much interest.

## Review 10 (Reviewer AC)

Originality (New or Novel contribution)	Significance of Topic (Relating to knowledge contribution)	Presentation (Clarity and Organisation of Content)
Weak Accept (6)	Neutral (5)	Weak Accept (6)

### Strengths/Weakness (What are the major reasons to accept/reject the paper? [Be brief.])

The paper presents an initial study on the learning process, but this data is still very superficial.  
This lack of depth in the study leads us to an insufficient conclusion for any kind of play.

### Contribution/s & Detailed comments (What are the major issues addressed in the paper? Do you consider them important? Comment on the degree of novelty, creativity and technical depth in the paper. Please provide detailed comments that will be helpful to the TPC for assessing the paper, as well as feedback to the authors.)

Figure 1 should be the closest to the text. Distance makes it difficult to understand.  
The paper can be accepted, provided that the correct corrections are made.  
There are not many contributions presented in the text, only a brief textual description

EDAS at 172.30.1.31 (Wed, 23 Jan 2019 20:57:00 -0500 EST) [User 1519427 using Win10:Chrome 71.0 0.360/1.789 s] [Request help](#)